- 1 Q. Who is that, sir?
- 2 A. Chris Brown.
- 3 Q. Now, since the erosion event that Mr. Harness re-
- ferred to a while ago on cross examination, . .
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. . . what to the best of your knowledge has been the
- 7 status of this area since then?
- 8 A. It's been very accretional. One reason for the
- 9 massive renourishment project, we had a large sand bar out
- offshore and we welded it together to increase the sand in
- that area, and we had a total accretional area since that
- one episode with erosion that I know of.
- 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HOWE:
- 14 Q. State your full name, please, sir.
- 15 A. David Henry Lucas.
- 16 Q. And tell the Court about how you came to own these two
- 17 pieces of property?
- 18 A. Well, of course, I still had a very good relation-
- 19 ship with all the sales force at Wild Dunes and we had faith
- 20 in the investment at Wild Dunes and the ocean front lots
- 21 had been sold and most of them had been built on. There
- were very few remaining and it seemed like a supply and
- 23 demand situation, that it would be a very good investment
- 24 for us.
- I was looking for another lot to build a home on for

- myself and my family.
- 2 Q. So you--it was with the proceeds--part of the proceeds
- from the sale of your interest that you bought these lots?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Now, would you have thought of yourself as knowledg-
- able about these two lots and their history?
- 7 A. I would think I was very knowledgable, yes, sir.
- 8 Q. You had been on the island since 1979?
- 9 A. Since 1978-79. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And you were well aware of the various studies and
- the various information that had come forward about accre-
- tion on the property?
- 13 A. Yes. One of my roles--it sort of expanded from being
- just a contractor. I became--I sat in on all the board
- meetings and I worked with Mr. Stevens and other people there
- in doing a number of planning--we had twenty-five hundred
- 17 units we were allowed to build on the island and we had
- 18 to find out the best way -- the best mix, single family versus
- 19 condominiums, and it was a long study.
- 20 As we say, we kept trying to make the biscuits and
- 21 the gravy come out together.
- 22 Q. When you closed--sold your interest in the island,
- 23 were you under any obligation to buy these lots?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Were you under any obligation to reinvest on the

- island?
- 2 A. None whatsoever.
- 3 Q. So you had an opportunity to invest anywhere?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. And you had the pick of all the lots on the beach?
- 6 A. The ones that were left, yes.
- 7 Q. A pick of the undeveloped lots?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And you had all the background and knowledge that you
- 10 had accumulated over the years?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And you chose these two?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Why?
- 15 A.: Well, we had always taken into consideration the move-
- 16 ment of the ocean, the Atlantic Ocean. I mean, it's obvious
- 17 that there is always a risk of a big storm or a hurricane.
- 18 One of the things that really attracted us to the island
- 19 and investment on the island was the studies we had done.
- 20 When we put lots up for sale or when we developed condomin-
- 21 iums we always took in the latest data that we had. We
- 22 didn't just go out and say we're going to stick these lots
- 23 one foot from the critical line. We never did that sort of
- 24 thing.
- The Finches were very responsible developers and they

- were very cognizant of the fact that there could be some
- 2 liability if they were not responsible.
- 3 So there were various studies. There were ongoing
- 4 studies done. They were always markers out on the beach so
- 5 they kept tabs on where erosion was and where accretion, and
- 6 every study that we had, and every study that I'm aware of,
- 5 said that the beach was an accretion area--an accreting
- 8 beach; that over the past fifteen hundred years that the
- 9 ocean has built oceanward, but that there would be sometimes
- intermittent and temporary erosion during that period of
- 11 time.
- I don't think you could equate the--every beach as
- 13 being erosion beach in the State of South Carolina. I would
- 14 contend there are certain very bad places where erosion
- takes place, but I don't see how you can treat every place
- 16 the same.
- 17 Q. Now, I'm going to hand you Exhibits 3 and 4, and
- 18 tell the Court what those are?
- 19 A. These are, I believe, the deeds on these lots.
- 20 Q. When did you buy Number 3 and how much did you pay
- 21 for it?
- 22 A. It's Lot 22 and 24. I paid Four Hundred Seventy-five
- 23 Thousand for Lot 22 and I believe that's dated--you might
- 24 help me out here, counsellor.
- 25 Q. Look on the signature page.

- A. Okay. It was done December 3rd, 1986, and Lot 24, I
- paid Five Hundred Thousand Dollars for it and that would
- 3 have been on the 3rd of December of 1986 also.
- 4 Q. Were these what we think of as arms-length transac-
- 5 tions?
- 6 A. Yes, they were definitely arms-length transactions.
- 7 Q. Any relationship between you and the seller that
- would make--distort the price?
- g A. No, sir.
- 10 Q. Did you feel as though at the time you paid fair
- market value for those lots?
- 12 A. I perhaps paid a little bit--yeah, I paid fair market
- value for them.
- Q. Now, at the time you bought those lots, what could
- they be used for?
- 16 A. At that point in time they could be used to build
- 17 single family residential dwellings.
- 18 Q. Now, let's talk about Lot 22 first. What was your
- intention as it relates to Lot 22?
- 20 A. Let's see. Now, Lot 22 was the Charleston side.
- 21 Q. That's to the left . .
- 22 A. Lot 22 was originally purchased with--just as an
- 23 investment, with an investment in mind. The idea being that
- 24 it already had some protection; that if there was a temporary
- 25 erosion that came back, it would be the one that I would

- feel good about selling to someone else because it was pro-
- 2 tected.
- 3 Q. Lot 24--what were your intentions with regard to that
- 4 lot?
- 5 A. To build a home for myself, a residence for myself.
- 6 Q. What kind of residence could you build?
- 7 A. Well, it was sort of the opposite of what the
- 8 Coastal Council is saying. The ideal was to build the larger
- 9 the better. We did not intend to build a huge house there,
- but I think somewhere in the neighborhood of thirty-five
- 11 hundred square feet to four thousand.
- 12 Q. Did you engage architects and engineers to work in
- 13 furtherance of Lot--work on Lot 22 and 24?
- 14 A. Yes. We had homes designed for both of those lots.
- 15 Q. What was done with regard to Lot 22?
- 16 A. We had a home perhaps--my brother at Cumberland
- 17 Corporation--had it designed and were pricing it out to
- 18 move forward and build it as a speculative home.
- 19 O. Now, explain to the Court and for the record what
- you mean when you say build it as a spec home.
- 21 A. Well, it would have been built for sale. It would
- 22 have been built without a buyer--a particular buyer in
- 23 mind.
- Q. And was that your intention for that particular . .
- 25 A. Yes, that was one route. We were in no hurry to do

- that because the lot was appreciating in value.
- 2 Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit Number 5. Tell the
- 3 Court what Number 5 is.
- 4 A. These are plans drawed up for 11 Beechwood East,
- 5 which would be Lot 22, and it was done by Joe Tucker and
- 6 Associates, and it was designed to go on Lot 22.
- 7 Q. And was that to be a spec house?
- 8 A. Yes, it would have been a speculative house.
- 9 Q. All right.
- 10 THE COURT: When was that done?
- 11 A. Your Honor, I believe this is dated 8 25 87 so it
- would have been an ongoing project. It's either an eight
- or a three. It may be three. I think it was early March.
- 14 It was right after we purchased the lot.
- 15 THE COURT: The spec house?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 thirty-five hundred and five thousand square feet. We were
- 18 trying to hit a specific market. So that was what the design
- 19 was aimed for.
- 20 Q. Has that house been built?
- 21 A. No, sr, it has not been built.
- Q. All work was stopped on it.
- 23 A. All work we had to stop, yes.
- Q. And that has been as a result of the Beach Management
- 25 Act?

- A. That's correct.
- 2 O. What is the document you're looking at now?
- 3 A. This is the preliminary drawing on the house that I
- 4 was designing for personal use.
- 5 Q. And does it reflect on there anywhere whose house it
- 6 is?
- 7 A. Yes, it has Lucas residence on here.
- 8 Q. Where did you intend for that home to be built?
- 9 A. On Lot 24.
- 10 Q. Tell us a little bit about that house.
- 11 A. This house was designed by Ed Wiggins of Hilton Head.
- We also had a small architectural mockup made out of card-
- 13 board but that has since disintegrated. We don't have it,
- 14 but this is one that I worked with--my wife and I worked
- 15 together on this plan.
- 16 Q. About how large is that?
- 17 A. I believe the heated square footage was thirty--it
- 18 looks like thirty-eight, almost thirty-nine hundred square
- 19 feet. That includes some storage space in there too.
- 20 Q. Mr. Lucas, do you owe any money on these lots?
- 21 A. Yes, sir. I owe a substantial sum of money on these
- 22 lots.
- 23 Q. What is the substantial sum?
- 24 A. The principal, I believe, is Nine Hundred--in excess
- of Nine Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars.

- 1 Q. And who do you owe that money to?
- 2 A. NCNB.
- 3 Q. Are they charging you any interest?
- 4 A. Yes, ir. They regularly send me the interest bills.
- 5 Q. What is the rate you borrowed money at?
- 6 A. One and a half over prime, I believe.
- 7 Q. And what has that averaged for the last year?
- 8 A. It would be in excess of Twelve Percent.
- Q. What do you think these two lots are worth? I'd like
- you to treat them separately if you think they should be
- 11 treated separately.
- 12 A. The value of Lot 22 I would say would be zero or less
- and as a matter of fact would be a liability. Lot 24 again
- 14 zero or less.
- 15 Q. When you say or less, what do you mean by that?
- 16 A. Well, along with being the property owner, we have no
- 17 real use for that property. There are certain liabilities.
- 18 If someone falls down and breaks a leg on that lot or has an
- 19 accident . .
- 20 Q. I hand you Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 and ask what are
- 21 those?
- 22 A. These are taxes of Charleston County and the City of
- 23 Isle of Palms. These also would be a liability ongoing and
- 24 •
- 25 Q. What do they show the taxes being for the individual

- 1 lots?
- 2 A. For Lot 22 it shows the amount due as One Thousand
- 3 Seven Hundred Sixty-seven Dollars and Twenty Cents, and for
- 4 the other lot the same amount.
- 5 Q. Now, prior to the Beach Management Act, looking at
- 6 these lots individually, what did you think they were worth?
- 7 A. Well, since they were only about four lots that were
- 8 available, ocean front in Wild Dunes, and Wild Dunes had
- 9 substantially built out--- I mean, it was--there were houses
- 10 all up and down this beach and I figured that within a year
- or two that these lots could be perhaps worth as much as
- 12 a Million Dollars apiece.
- 13 Q. Now, if the lots were worth a Million Dollars apiece,
- 14 or a total of Two Million Dollars . .
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. . . and you owed--how much did you say you owed on
- 17 them?
- 18 A. I believe it was in excess of Nine Hundred Fifty
- 19 Thousand Dollars.
- 20 Q. And that would have left you a Million Fifty Thousand
- 21 Dollars, quote-quote, equity?
- 22 A. Minus carrying costs and minus costs of--other costs
- 23 of holding the property.
- 24 Q. Do you have any costs on these properties as it
- 25 relates to the Wild Dunes Association?

- 1 Q. As a landowner, with that understanding, what is the
- value of the lots in the market place in your opinion?
- 3 A. In my opinion, ...
- 4 MR. HARNESS: Your Honor, I object. He can state
- 5 what the property is worth to him. I don't know whether he
- 6 can go into market place of houses as an expert.
- 7 THE COURT: Restate your question, Mr. Howe.
- g BY MR. HOWE:
- 9 Q. I'll back up a little bit. Mr. Lucas, are you a
- 10 realtor?
- 11 A. Yes, I am. I hold a South Carolina real estate
- broker's license.
- 13 Q. How long have you held that?
- 14 A. Oh, since about 1971.
- 15 Q. And when did you move to Isle of Palms?
- 16 A. In 1978-79.
- 17 Q. And prior to being an owner on the island, were you
- in contact and familiar with the real estate sales on the
- 19 island?
- 20 A. Prior to moving down? Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. No, prior to being an owner.
- 22 A. Prior to being an owner, yes, very much so.
- 23 Q. And would you have--what information would you have
- gathered prior to purchasing on the island?
- 25 A. Well, I saw the daily real estate sales figures. I

- saw--I built a lot of properties for sale so I was very up
- to date on costs and sales prices.
- 3 Q. After you owned on the island, were you--would you
- 4 have been familiar with--what would your contact have been
- 5 with real estate sales on that island?
- 6 A. Very closely with Fifty-four Million Dollar mortgage
- 7 sitting on it. It very close working activity--working
- 8 relationship.
- 9 Q. Would you have also owned a real estate company then?
- 10 A. Yes, we owned the Wild Dunes Real Estate.
- 11 Q. And what was your touch with the real estate company?
- 12 A. Daily management.
- 13 Q. Would you have been familiar with the sales?
- 14 A. I would have helped set prices on all new product.
- 15 As a matter of fact, I was the last word in setting the
- 16 prices.
- 17 Q. So you actually put the market price on the property?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. How many different pieces of property would you have
- 20 put a market price on?
- 21 A. Oh, I would have been involved in pricing probably a
- 22 thousand or fifteen--probably a thousand pieces of property
- 23 at Wild Dunes during the years I was there.
- Q. And after you sold the island or were in the process
- of selling the island, did you familiarize yourself with the

- value of the real estate so that you could arrive at a fair
- 2 market price for your interests?
- 3 A. That's correct. I did and was well aware of the
- 4 values on the island.
- 5 MR. HOWE: I think that's enough for him to testify
- 6 as an expert. I know he's a landowner but I think that's
- 7 pretty good expert background.
- g THE COURT: And what is your question.
- 9 MR. HOWE: My question is, what do you believe the
- 10 fair market value of the property is today.
- MR. HARNESS: Your Honor, I object still. I under-
- 12 stand he's a property owner and he has a background, but my
- objection still remains. He's not an appraiser that's been
- qualified to show he can do market analysis.
- THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection.
- 16 A. Repeat the question once again.
- 17 Q. What do you think the fair market value of the
- 18 property is now?
- 19 A. I don't think it has a fair market value. I think
- 20 it's zero on both pieces of property. Again it has a
- 21 negative value to the owner.
- 22 Q. Will you have any hesitation in selling either one
- of these lots to the public?
- 24 A. No, I would not.
- 25 Q. Why not?

- A. Well, I mean, you're talking about at the present
- 2 time?
- Q. In terms of--I mean if it weren't for the Beachfront
- 4 Management Act, would you have any reservations in terms of
- 5 selling it to somebody as a beachfront lot?
- 6 A. No, not a bit.
- 7 Q. Why not?
- 8 A. Whatsoever--well, as I stated beforehand, all of
- 9 the knowledge that I've gained in my ten years of associa-
- tion at Wild Dunes has been this is an accretionary island--
- accreting island, and that if there is erosion it will be
- of temporary nature, and that has proven to be the case in
- my observation in the last ten years.
- 14 The beach--the high water mark has moved from fairly
- 15 close to this, within a hundred feet, in the last two or
- three years. It is now the length of a football field away
- 17 from the property line.
- 18 It's actually--I don't know if you consider it ocean
- 19 front any more but it's probably ocean view.
- 20 Q. Thank you, sir.
- 21 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. HARNESS:
- 22 Q. Just a few points. You indicated you have no reserva-
- 23 tion about the sale of your lots and that you have information
- that would date back fifteen hundred years to show that the
- 25 island has accreted. Where did you draw that information?

- A. From a study done by, I believe, Tim Canners' Company
- 2 that we had commissioned.
- Q. All right, but you don't deny the fact that there
- were serious erosion problems in 1983?
- 5 A. It depends on your definition of serious.
- 6 Q. Describe it for me. What sort of erosion did occur
- ⁷ in 1983?
- 8 A. We had erosion in two areas, north and south of the
- 9 sand bar.
- 10 Q. And did they threaten buildings on the island?
- 11 A. I don't think the buildings were ever seriously
- 12 threatened, no.
- 13 Q. The scarp line was at the face of the building, was it
- 14 not true?
- 15 A. The scarp line was at the face of the building.
- 16 Q. That means that the Atlantic Ocean at some point
- 17 in time reached that point?
- 18 A. I would--yes, I guess so.
- 19 Q. But you don't consider that serious erosion?
- 20 A. It depends on what your definition of serious is
- 21 again. I mean, it was certainly serious to those buildings,
- 22 but it was not serious to the entire development of Wild
- 23 Dunes. It was temporary erosion. We were assured by the
- 24 studies by the same people who drew the setback line that
- you are speaking of now that this was not going to be the

- case and that the land was going to build up, which in fact
- 2 it has at the rate of two to three feet per year since the
- 3 temporary erosion problem.
- 4 Q. But has the ocean not also eroded out other parts of
- the Wild Dunes section in the last few years?
- 6 A. I think erosion goes on every day.
- 7 Q. So isn't it true that while you say this is an
- accreting island-that this island is accreting, that there
- g are serious erosional events that occur?
- 10 A. I'm simply restating what the studies say, and that
- is that it is an accretionary island and that there will
- be temporary erosional processes since it is a dynamic pro-
- cess. I am not an expert in hydraulics but I have read
- 14 the reports of the experts.
- 15 Q. Did the report tell you that in 1963 that these lots
- 16 were in the ocean?
- 17 A. They did not.
- 18 Q. Did the reports tell you that between 1973 and 1977
- 19 these lots were positioned out on the beach?
- 20 A. No, they did not.
- 21 Q. So you said you had all that information available
- for the last fifteen hundred years. Do you think you had a
- full picture presented to you by these people who gave you
- 24 information?
- 25 A. I think we had a very full picture, and the informa-

- tion was that over the past fifteen hundred years the island
- has accreted and that has been at least substantiated by
- 3 photographs that showed the advance of the dune lines.
- As to the two particular lots, the two particular
- lots were not studied but the entire Wild Dunes Development
- 6 was studied. Again, individual erosion does occur in
- 5 spots temporarily, but the overall impact has been accretion-
- 8 ary which it has done for the past eight or nine years at
- 9 the rate of two or three feet per year.
- 10 Q. Did you make any effort at anypoint in time to get any
- 11 permits to construct?
- 12 A. We submitted a permit through Wild Dunes, yes.
- 13 O. To whom?
- 14 A. To Wild Dunes--to the Wild Dunes Review Board.
- 15 Q. Did they complete their study and give you an okay on
- 16 construction?
- 17 A. They didn't do a study. They put--they did not give us
- 18 an okay.
- 19 Q. They did not give you an okay, meaning that they did npt
- 20 make a final decision?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Did you--would you have needed any permits from Isle
- 23 of Palms?
- A. Yes, we would have needed one from the City of Isle
- 25 of Palms.

- 1 Q. Did you make any effort prior to passage of the Act
- to obtain a permit in order to grandfather your structures?
- 3 A. No, we did not.
- 4 Q. Did you apply for any permits from the South Carolina
- 5 Coastal Council at any point in time regarding these lots?
- 6 A. No, we did not.
- 7 Q. Did you ever challenge the locations of lines on the
- 8 lots as allowed for?
- 9 A. No, we did not.
- 10 DONALD PARDUE, being duly
- n sworn, testified as follows:
- 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HOWE:
- 13 Q. Did they swear you in, Mr. Pardue?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. We don't want to take any chances. Where are you
- 16 from originally?
- 17 A. Atlanta, Georgia.
- 18 Q. And what do you do for a living?
- 19 A. I'm a real estate appraiser and also am a broker?
- 20 Q. You're an MAI?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. How long have you been an MAI?
- 23 A. Approximately six and a half years.
- 24 Q. What does the term MAI stand for?
- 25 A. That is a member of the American Institute of Real